



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/986,712	11/09/2001	Jerry Schlagheck	15186-12US" JA/Im	5856
20350	7590 08/22/2003			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			EXAMINER	
EIGHTH FLO	TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR		GUADALUPE, YARITZA	
SAN FRANCI	ISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2859	
			DATE MAILED: 08/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- 808 - 808
3	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
_	09/986,712	SCHLAGHECK E	T AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yaritza Guadalupe	2859	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspond nce ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replevable of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a by within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI le, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this countries BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	June 2003 .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			ne merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-24</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-24</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examin	ier.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	, -		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xamıner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
1. Certified copies of the priority document		A antiontion blo	
2. Certified copies of the priority document			Chama
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	Stage
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language present 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 			
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No f Informal Patent Application (PT	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

DETAILED ACTION

In response to Amendment filed June 5, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1 and 3 – 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Sun et al. (US 6,517,238).

Art Unit: 2859

Sun et al. discloses a system for thermal imaging inspection inherently comprising a mounting for mounting said object under inspection, a pulsed laser source (40) having a beam (42) able to be positioned for providing a heat pulse at a predetermined location on said object since a shield is provided on the object to allow heat flow to a localized point (See Column 3, lines 50 – 54), a thermal infrared camera (50) for capturing thermal images on the top surface of said object, a frame grabber, memory unit and computer (See Column 3, lines 57 – 60) for capturing a sequence of image signals from the infrared camera (50), for storing data representative of heat diffusion resulting from said heat pulse and for comparing said heat diffusion data to a reference data set provided in the computer (60).

Sun et al. discloses a system and method for inspecting comprising the steps of injecting a heat pulse by light beam at a selected point on a bottom surface (28) of an object (20) whereby heat transmits through a top surface, capturing a sequence of consecutive thermal images of said object to record heat diffusion over time (See Column 4, lines 26 - 34), comparing said heat diffusion to a reference (See Column 4, lines 34 - 54) and determining whether said object comprises any defects (See Column 6, lines 37 - 45) as stated in claims 1 and 3 - 16 can be met by the regular operation of the apparatus disclosed by Sun et al.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/986,712

Art Unit: 2859

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 18 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al. (US 6,517,238) in view of Moran (US 5,127,726).

Sun et al. discloses an apparatus as stated in paragraph 2 above.

Sun et al. does not discloses the X - Y galvanometer as stated in claims 18 - 20.

With respect to claims 18 – 20: Moran discloses an apparatus for surface inspection comprising a rotating galvanometer (52, 52a) for aligning a pulsed laser source (51) to a precise location on the object (W) under inspection through an input/output interface (52a) which will control said galvanometer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to add a rotatable galvanometer as taught by Moran to the system and method disclosed by Sun et al. in order to enhance the accuracy of the process and reduce the focusing and aiming time of the laser to the object while in motion during inspection.

Application/Control Number: 09/986,712

Art Unit: 2859

Page 5

5. Claims 21, 22 and 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al. (US 6,517,238) in view of Vachtsevanos et al. (US 6,269,179).

Sun et al. discloses an apparatus as stated in paragraph 2 above.

Sun et al. does not disclose the mounting means comprising register pins as stated in claim 21, or a stage as stated in claims 22 and 24.

Regarding claims 21, 22 and 24: Sun discloses a system for thermal imaging comprising an object under study which inherently suggests the use of some type of mounting means so as to retain the object in position, but does not mentions the particular mounting means used. Vachtsevanos et al. discloses an apparatus having a mounting stage movable in X and Y direction, and a controller disposed in a computer (22) programming an entire sequence of points (See Column 6, lines 7 – 8) on said object and causing said mounting to align sequentially each point of said sequence of points to said laser, a focusing optical mechanism including a lens and fiber optic array, an illumination technique and filters for controlling the pulsed laser source directed to the object which inherently teaches the use of an optical power attenuator. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the mounting means disclosed by Sun et al. with a mounting means as taught by Vachtsevanos et al. in order to increase the accuracy of the process

Art Unit: 2859

by providing a secure automated mounting surface that allows for continuous measurements and inspection.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al. (US 6. 6,517,238) in view of Vachtsevanos et al. (US 6,269,179) as applied to claims 21, 22 and 24 above, and further in view of Nakata et al. (US 5,250,809).

Sun et al. and Vachtsevanos et al. disclose an apparatus as stated in paragraph 5 above.

Sun et al. and Vachtsevanos et al. do not disclose the mounting also movable in the Z direction as stated in claim 23.

Regarding claim 23: Nakata et al. discloses a device for checking comprising a mounting table (43) for support of the object (44) under inspection, said mounting comprising a stage movable in X in Y and in Z direction. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the mounting stage disclosed by Vachtsevanos et al. with a stage movable in the X, Y and Z direction as taught by Nakata et al. in order to increase the range of inspection as well as decreasing the alignment time during inspection.

Application/Control Number: 09/986,712 Page 7

Art Unit: 2859

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, see pages 6 - 8, filed June 5, 2003, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-24 under U.S.C. 102 and U.S.C. 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of the newly found prior art reference.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references are considered to be relevant to the present application:
 - a. Sun et al. (US Pub. No. 2002/0126730)
 - b. Sun et al. (US 6,517,236)
 - c. Bruce et al. (US 6,146,014)
 - d. Joseph et al. (US 5,624,190)
 - e. Flake (US 6,360,935)
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yaritza Guadalupe whose telephone number is (703)305 -5676. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM 6:30 PM.

```

Application/Control Number: 09/986,712 Page 8

Art Unit: 2859

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on (703) 308-3875. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)746-4467 for regular

communications and (703)872-9318 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Yaritza Guadalupe Patent Examiner Art Unit 2859 August 13, 2003

CHRISTOPHER W. FULTON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Clastyda Wht